	Case 2:16-cv-01264-WBS-EFB Document 17 Filed 01/24/17 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	00000
12	COMCAST OF SACRAMENTO I, LLC; CIV. NO. 2:16-1264 WBS EFB
13	COMCAST OF SACRAMENTO II,  LLC; and COMCAST OF ORDER
14	SACRAMENTO III, LLC;
15	Plaintiffs,
16	V.
17 18	SACRAMENTO METROPOLITAN CABLE TELEVISION COMMISSION, and DOES 1 through 20,
19	Defendant.
20	
21	00000
22	After reviewing the parties' Joint Status Report, the
23	court is uncertain as to whether it has jurisdiction in this
24	matter. Defendant is a California municipal entity. (Joint
25	Status Report at 5 (Docket No. 16).) Plaintiffs, California
26	limited liability companies, claim that they are not citizens of
27	California, but citizens of Pennsylvania and Michigan. (Compl.
28	
	1

## Case 2:16-cv-01264-WBS-EFB Document 17 Filed 01/24/17 Page 2 of 2

¶¶ 1-3, 8 (Docket No. 1).) The Ninth Circuit has held that "an LLC is a citizen of every state of which its owners/members are citizens." Johnson v. Columbia Properties Anchorage, LP, 437 F.3d 894, 899 (9th Cir. 2006). Plaintiffs have not provided any indication that their owners and members are not citizens of California. Given plaintiffs' names and registrations, the court cannot make that assumption. Accordingly, the basis for diversity jurisdiction is unclear.

Accordingly, on or before January 30, 2017, counsel for plaintiffs shall file a statement setting forth whether any of plaintiffs' owners or members are citizens of California for purposes of diversity jurisdiction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 24, 2017

WILLIAM B SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE